Record of proceedings dated 02.11.2015

O. P. No.10 of 2015

M/s Sundew Properties Limited vs TSSPDCL

Petition seeking deemed distribution licence

Sri P. Sri Raghu Ram, Senior Advocate along with Sri V. S. C. Murthy, Consultant representative of the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondent along with Sri. J. Ashwini Kumar, Advocate are present. The counsel for the petitioner has submitted detailed arguments on behalf of the petitioner by filing the list of dates of events. The counsel for respondent submitted and reiterated is earlier arguments.

The Commission directed the petitioner to file information relating to the contributions made by each of the promoters and other stake holders, separately identifying equity and debt of each individual / company's liability apart from indicating the present volume of power required and source of such supply at present. The information shall be filed on or before 16.11.2015. Adjourned and to be continued.

 Call on 23.11.2015

 At 11.00 AM

 Sd/ Sd/

 Member
 Chairman

O. P. No. 13 of 2015

M/s Global Energy Pvt. Ltd. vs Nil & M/s Ushdev Engitech Ltd and TSSLDC.

Petition seeking Intra State Trading License for the State of Telangana

Petition to implead the petition in I.A. As respondents in OP No. 13 of 2015

Sri P. Vikram, Counsel for petitioner and Sri Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondent along with Sri J. Ashwini Kumar, Advocate are present. Sri D.S.Sivadarshan, Advocate representing M/s Ushdev Engitech is also present. Sri Y. Rama Rao, appearing for State Load Dispatch Centre stated that counter affidavit is being filed and that there are certain issues with regard to petitioner undertaken supply through trading and gaming took place therein which are enumerated in the counter affidavit. The Counsel for the petitioner sought time to verify the contents of the counter affidavit as well as submit relevant information regarding such facts on actual situation. He also sought

time to file a reply to the counter affidavit of SLDC. While filing such reply, a copy has to be given to the counsel for the respondent. Adjourned.

Call on 23.11.2015 At 11.00 AM Sd/-Chairman

Sd/- Sd/-Member Member

O. P. No. 86 of 2015

M/s. Indian Wind Power Association vs TSTRANSCO, TSDISCOMS & TGNREDCL

Petition filed u/s 61 (h) & 86 (1)(e) of Electricity Act, 2003, Clause 5.12.1 & 5.12.2 of

National Electricity Policy and Clause 6.4 of National Tariff Policy for
determination / refixation of several factors that form part of the tariff for the

State of Telangana

Sri. Y. Anantha Raman, Advocate representing Sri S. V. S. Choudary, Counsel for Petitioner along with Sri V. Sailendra, Coordinator of the Petitioner appeared for the petitioner. Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondent along with Sri J. Ashwini Kumar, Advocate are present for the respondents. Upon notice Sri K.Govind Raj, Development Officer appeared on behalf of New and Renewable Energy Development Corporation of Telangana. The Counsel for the respondents stated that the respondents have filed their counter affidavit. The counsel for the petitioner sought time to file a reply in the matter. The representatives of New and Renewable Energy Development Corporation of Telangana brought to the notice of the Commission that the government is in the process of formulating a policy on wind projects and a draft is under active consideration of the Secretary, Energy Department.

The Commission keeping in view that it is awaiting policy on wind projects from the government adjourned the hearing. The Commission desired that a presentation be made by the TSNREDCL along with and in the presence of the representatives of the petitioner and the Discoms. The Commission directed the representatives of TSNREDCL to furnish to the Commission and the parties a copy of the draft policy proposed by the Government. Adjourned. Office to obtain a clear date and intimate to the parties to enable them to be present and make the presentation as desired above.

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Member Chairman

O. P. No.87 of 2015 & I. A. No. 30 of 2015

Wind Independent Power Producers Association & M/s Hero Wind Energy Pvt. Ltd. vs TSDISCOMS

Petition filed u/s 61 r/w 86(1)(e) of Electricity act, 2003 seeking determination of tariff for wind energy projects beyond 31.03.2015

Petition to implead the 2nd petition in I.A. as proposed petitioner in OP No. 87 of 2015

Shri. Tushar Nagar, Counsel for the petitioner and Sri Ankit Chabra, Representative are present for the petitioner. Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondent along with Sri. J. Ashwini Kumar, Advocate are present for the respondent. The counsel for the respondent stated that the respondents have filed their counter affidavit in the matter. The counsel for the petitioner stated that he has received counter affidavit and sought time for filing the reply of the petitioners. He also prayed for allowing the amendment petition for amending the title to the petition.

The Commission allowed the amendment petition their by petitioner no. 2 in IA No. 30 of 2015 i.e., M/s Hero Wind Energy Pvt.Ltd., has been added as party to the petition. While adjourning the hearing without any date, the Commission desired a presentation to be made by the petitioners to the Commission in consultation and coordination with TSNREDCL. This adjournment is made as the Commission is awaiting policy on wind projects from the government. Office to obtain a clear date and intimate to the parties to enable them to be present and make the presentation as desired above.

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Member Chairman

O. P. No. 90 of 2015

M/s Lodha Healthy Construction and Developers Pvt. Ltd. vs CMD, TSSPDCL and Officers

Petition filed questioning the action of DISCOM in not implementing the order of the Vidyut Ombudsman and to punish the licensee u/s 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

Filed an I.A. seeking to amend the title in the petition.

Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Counsel for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for respondents along with Sri. J. Ashwini Kumar, Advocate are present. The

Counsel for the petitioner submitted arguments on the petition. The counsel for the respondents reiterated the contents of the counter affidavit. At this stage the counsel for the petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that an order passed by the Vidyut Ombudsman in the similar and identical case has been implemented by the licensee. The counsel for the respondents sought time to verify the same and report whether implementation can be made in this case also.

The Commission while adjourning the hearing directed the counsel for the respondent to ensure compliance of the order of the Vidyut Ombudsman and report such compliance by the next date of hearing.

 Call on 23.11.2015

 At 11.00 AM

 Sd/ Sd/

 Member
 Chairman

O. P. No. 91 of 2015

M/s. Sanathnagar Enterprises Ltd. vs TSSPDCL and Officers

Petition filed questioning the action of DISCOM in not implementing the order of the Vidyut Ombudsman and to punish the Licensee u/s 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Counsel for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for respondents along with Sri. J. Ashwini Kumar, Advocate are present. The Counsel for the petitioner submitted arguments on the petition. The counsel for the respondents reiterated the contents of the counter affidavit. At this stage the counsel for the petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that an order passed by the Vidyut Ombudsman in the similar and identical case has been implemented by the licensee. The counsel for the respondents sought time to verify the same and report whether implementation can be made in this case also.

The Commission while adjourning the hearing directed the counsel for the respondent to ensure compliance of the order of the Vidyut Ombudsman and report such compliance by the next date of hearing.

 Call on 23.11.2015

 At 11.00 AM

 Sd/ Sd/

 Member
 Chairman

O. P. No. 92 of 2015

M/s. Suguna Metals Limited vs Vidyut Ombudsman of Telangana and TSSPDCL Officers

Petition filed questioning the action of Discom in not implementing the order of the Vidyut Ombudsman and to punish the licensee u/s 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

Filed an I.A. seeking to amend the title in the petition

Sri. C. H. Vinod, Representative of Sri. Vinesh Raj, Advocate and Counsel for petitioner, Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for respondents along with Sri. J. Ashwini Kumar, Advocate are present. The representative of the petitioner sought adjournment stating that the counsel is out of station. The counsel for the respondent has no objection. Adjourned

Call on 23.11.2015 At 11.00 AM Sd/-Chairman

Sd/- Sd/-Member Member